



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,151	12/27/2000	John D. Marshall	EKo000200	8710

34690 7590 06/15/2005

RIMAS T. LUKAS
PO BOX 3295
HALFMOON BAY, CA 94014

EXAMINER

FLANDERS, ANDREW C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2644

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/751,151	Applicant(s) MARSHALL ET AL.	
	Examiner Andrew C. Flanders	Art Unit 2644	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andrew C. Flanders.

(3) John D. Marshall. (5) Andrew Graham

(2) Rimas T. Lukas.

(4) John C. Gaddy.

Date of Interview: 10 June 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-3, 36-38 and 71-73.

Identification of prior art discussed: Loh et al. U.S. 5,621,805.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed claim amendments were discussed regarding the analysis of said at least two digital audio files within claim 1. A suggestion by the examiner to overcome rejection was made to add the limitation of the having the analysis done by a digital processing unit. It is believed this will overcome the prior art but will still require further search and or consideration. No indication of allowable subject matter was discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



SINH TRAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.